

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexasdra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/668,888	09/22/2003	Craig Bryant	200311140-1	3575	
22879 7590 09/12/2008 HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS. CO 80527-2400			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			PEZZLO, JOHN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2619		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DET WEDVILLORE	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM mkraft@hp.com ipa.mail@hp.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

_					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/668,888	BRYANT ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	John Pezzlo	2619			

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 02 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. \(\times \) The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment or application, applicant must limely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, afficavt, or other evidence, which places application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.31; or (3) a Requ for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:	s the
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is late no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailling date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY OFECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension is have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (; set fort in (a) above; if checket. A viry reply received by the Office letter than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely if may reduce any sermed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	fee (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Si Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).	
AMENDMENTS	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues fo appeal; and/or	ЭΓ
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling non-allowable claim(s). 	
7. Note that is properly a properly a properly and in the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation or how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	of
Claim(s) allowed: 14-27. Claim(s) objected to:	

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ___

AFFI	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered
	because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
	was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

Claim(s) rejected: 1-7 and 11-13.

- 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
- 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).
- 13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.

/John Pezzlo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2619 Continuation of 13. Other: The examiner is still rejecting claims 1-7 and 11-13 on a 101 rejection. Referring to paragraph 43 of the specification computer instructions can be signals received over the air. This is non-statutory material and therefore, renders the claim non-statutory. The examiner suggests following the suggestions outlined during prosecution to overcome the 101 rejection.